#### Rybicki & Associates | P.C.

LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS

### Pay, Policy, and Practices: Avoiding some of *today's* common mistakes

Richard C. Rybicki Rybicki & Associates, P.C. 10 Executive Court, Suite 204 Napa, CA 94558 (707) 222-6361

## TYPES OF MISTAKES

- Many mistakes can be called mistakes of action
- These are mistakes where someone does something on purpose – or does something they ought to know is wrong
- Some examples:

- Harassment:
  - Harassment on purpose: overt sexual harassment, boorish behavior, racism, sexism
  - Harassment without thinking: stereotypes, insensitivity
  - Things people do to one another that can be offensive and affect an individual's working environment

- Discrimination:
  - Much like harassment discrimination can be on purpose, motivated by personal opinion, group dynamics, peer pressure
  - Also can be without thinking: stereotypes, assumptions
    - Historical: men were bread-winners, women would miss work due to child rearing
    - Modern: employees with parents or children will need family care leave, dreamers may be deported, etc.

### No Easy Answer

- These types of mistakes have no easy answer
- They are easy to fall into for individuals, hard to police among the workforce
- They are especially dangerous in a state like California, where employers have strict liability for supervisor harassment and adequate policies offer more limited protection than under federal (and most other states' law)

### No Easy Answer

- This presentation does not address mistakes of action, which are best handled by proactive management:
  - Training supervisors
  - Training workers
  - Maintaining policies
  - Implementing protective measures (HR audits, opendoor policies, arbitration agreements, class waivers)

### No Easy Answer

- We will discuss another class of problems issues that can creep up on the best-intentioned and most progressive employers
- These tend to be issues of pay, policy or procedure: places where busy employers may never realize there is a problem
- These can be called mistakes of "inaction" because they aren't based on otherwise wrongful conduct

## Mistakes of "Inaction"

# Major Pitfalls

### Major Issues

- Major issues have drawn attention over the past decades
- They are the "big" issues that have plagued employers, especially since the 1999-2000
- Many employers did not revise their practices quickly enough and found themselves with lawsuits based on these major issues

### Major Issues are Relevant

- Employers still need to address the major issues that crop up again and again. These are the 'pitfalls' usually addressed in litigation:
  - Exempt vs. non-exempt
  - Meal & rest periods
  - Independent contractor vs. employee
  - Tracking and paying overtime
  - Itemized wage statements

## Minor Pitfalls

### Minor Issues Trap Employers

- There are many small issues that employers miss even when they correct major issues such as meal/rest breaks
- Some of the "small" issues can trap employers into major liability – and it's gotten worse this decade:
  - PAGA liability for any labor code violations
  - Liquidated damages liability (with longer 3-year statute)
  - Waiting-time penalties
  - Potential inaccurate wage statements (and penalties)
  - ... etc.

### Small mistakes add up ...

- Small mistakes issues that escape attention are also now found in many class-actions and PAGA claims
  - Example: "seating" lawsuits alleging PAGA violations for not providing appropriate seating to cashiers, etc.
- These can add a little or a great deal to the value of employment litigation!

## **EXAMPLES OF ISSUES**

### Training on Meal & Rest Periods

#### Meal & Rest Periods

- Employers have been updating policies since 2000 and especially since the Brinker decision
- But many have not provided any training to supervisors or lead people at any time
- Most supervisors have never been formally trained on the precise requirements

### Meal & Rest Periods

- Worse: courts will examine supervisor conduct to see whether it interferes:
  - Employers must avoid "coercion" against taking breaks
  - Creating "incentives to forego" breaks
  - Or "encouraging the skipping of legally protected" breaks

#### Meal & Rest Periods

- Any of this conduct can destroy the effectiveness of a wellwritten and widely distributed break policy!
- Subtle pressure, word choice, offering to reward a crew for finishing early – all could be interpreted as interfering with breaks or incentivizing employees!
- Supervisors *must* be familiar with these principles as well as the basic rules about when and how breaks work.

- Individual workers and supervisors need training on all areas where they exercise important duties.
- Without training, they can commit small errors that become big problems even where an employer has a good defense overall.
- Like meal and rest periods, failure to train supervisors on other issues can lead them to fail in areas that give leverage in other claims.

- Application questions: untrained staff frequently ask questions that can "lead' to protected information.
  - War story: management who did not know that alcoholism is a disability

- Reimbursement: managers often send workers to pick things up, drop things off, go to the bank, or use their mobile phones.
  - This creates expense reimbursement issues that are now cited frequently by counsel
  - May also create overtime and de minimus issues that are unknown and untracked by management

Employers should identify the major areas a manager oversees

 Train managers on these and common areas where small mistakes can be made

- Each Wage Order has requirements not often discussed in labor law presentations
- Some are obvious while others are not
- They were almost unenforceable in the past, but now the PAGA statute has converted small violations into huge potential liability

- Seating cases:
  - Bank of America: \$15,000,000 settlement
  - Walgreens: \$65,000,000 settlement
  - Many others large and small

- Pay attention to Wage Order requirements that may not be at the top of employers' minds
- They may be a problem at some locations but not others!

- Examples:
  - suitable lockers, closets, or equivalent for the safekeeping of employees' outer garments
  - change rooms or equivalent space so employees may change their clothing in reasonable privacy and comfort (not toilet rooms)
  - suitable "resting facilities"

- Examples:
  - Comfortable temperatures in working areas
  - At least 68° in toilet rooms, resting rooms, and change rooms
  - Clocks in all major work areas or within reasonable distance

- Important to scour the wage order applicable to each workplace and make sure each is in compliance
- Failure to hit every requirement could lead to penalties each pay period for each affected employee

### Monitoring De Minimus Time

- The California Supreme Court recently held that small amounts of worked time are not de minimus under state law
- Small amounts of time that would be hard to track can be excluded under federal law
- But the state courts and the local Labor Commissioner staff have said they will not set aside small amounts of time worked by employees

### Monitoring De Minimus Time

- Employees often perform small tasks at the end of the day or outside of working hours
- The types of things people do tend to fall into identifiable classes.

• When they look the same, these types of activities look "regular" and are at risk of being compensable

### Monitoring De Minimus Time

- Examples:
  - Stopping to drop something off after work
  - Posting mail after work
  - Taking calls while off duty to answer questions
  - Responding to voice mails and emails when contacted during off hours
  - Others

### Monitoring De Minimus Time

- Examples:
  - Stopping to drop something off after work
  - Posting mail after work
  - Taking calls while off duty to answer questions
  - Responding to voice mails and emails when contacted during off hours
  - "walking time"
  - Others

### Monitoring De Minimus Time

- Employers can create a culture where such time is expected as "reasonable" because it is so small
- The "reasonableness" is the dynamic that led to the idea that small bits of time are too small to care about
- But the recent *de minimus* law makes even small bits of time – especially when not uncommon – payable
- Failure to monitor and record this type of work can be a springboard for other actions

 Labor Code section 2802: reimbursements must be made for all necessary expenses incurred in the course of duties

 Courts have held that this includes the use of things even where the employee does not need to pay more (such as unlimited phone use)

- Soapbox: I disagree employers only need to reimburse employees for clothes when they cannot be used for other purposes (not when requiring black pants, for example, or washing regular clothes)
- But in California, courts have held that employers cannot use employees' personal items without reimbursement as it would be a 'windfall'

- Examples of things employers must (or may need to) reimburse:
  - Cost of using a car for any purpose, such as taking deposits to the bank
  - Computer and internet use by employees' own devices and at employees' homes
  - Interest charges on purchases made for employer on a credit card

- Examples of things employers must (or may need to) reimburse:
  - "Consumables" such as employee paper, pens, printer ink, etc.
  - Software used by employees (word processing, email, etc.)
  - Subscriptions

- When: Some courts use a "know or could have known" standard requiring employers to reimburse when it should have known that an expense was incurred
- Lesson: make an effort to determine anything employees must use of their own during at-work or remote duties, and create a plan for reimbursement based on some reasonable model of expenses

- Most employers know that overtime must be calculated on a 'regular rate' rather than the base hourly rate
- 'Regular rate' can be different than the hourly rate when other items are included such as bonuses

 Unfortunately, many employers fail to include items that should increase the 'regular rate' but have not been included.

• Just one mistake can create "waiting time" penalties equal to 30 times an employee's average daily pay

- Common mistakes:
  - Small production bonuses: gifts or bonuses for hitting targets, meeting production goals, etc.
  - Frequent in wine country: wine club "sign up" bonuses

- Other common mistakes:
  - Differentials: amounts paid for off-hours of unusual shifts. Often added per straight hour without rolling into pay for overtime premiums
  - "Commissions": small commissions or bonuses paid to staff (also often in tasting rooms)
  - Service charges: service charges distributed to employees where assessed in lieu of tips

- Other common mistakes:
  - Some meals and lodging provided to employees (except in some cases)
  - Use of employer goods or "facilities" calculated at a reasonable "fair value"
  - Retroactive pay increases: must look back to overtime pay

- Other common mistakes:
  - Stipends and "longevity pay"
- Also consider issues such as:
  - Proper calculation of sick-leave pay
  - Proper allocation of bonuses and commissions to time when earned (which could be a separate presentation...)

- Most employers know that different places in California have adopted higher minimum wages than state law requires
- Municipalities may even have other requirements such as sick leave and health care contribution minimums

 These laws may affect employees based in one town who work at times in others – such as delivery and route drivers

- In San Francisco, minimum wage applies to anyone working at least 2 hours per week in SF
- (Sick leave applies to someone working 56 hours in a year in SF)

- These laws may also apply to employees who work from home part of the time (e.g., 8 hours per week in San Francisco for health contribution requirements)
- Local wage requirements can be enforced by the Labor Commissioner in any area (e.g., in Santa Rosa for SF requirements) and may support waiting-time penalties

- Takeaway: pay attention to localities that require different pay or benefits
- Know where employees go and where they telecommute

# Varying from Health Plan Requirements

# Varying from Health Plan Requirements

- Employers often make statements in handbooks about health plan requirements and application – e.g., coverage applies only to "full time" employees
- They may also overpromise coverage or become out of date

# Varying from Health Plan Requirements

- Health and pension-type plans cannot be modified by handbooks or other policies: they must be adopted and amended as allowed by federal law
- Failure to accurately describe benefits may result in penalties for noncompliance or even greater liability that is self-insured by the employer!

- This may be the greatest issue for employers today.
- Most employers know that recent California law requires equivalent pay among employees who perform "substantially similar work"
- Relevant: composite of skill, effort, and responsibility.
- Variation between ages and racial/ethnic classification prohibited

- Employers have had little time to assess these requirements since adoption
- But the law has few exceptions and applies to employees in different locations
- Failure to assess (1) which positions are substantially similar, and (2) how people are paid, may lead to massive liability

• Discussion in many industry sources and from the Labor Commissioner at:

www.dir.ca.gov/dlse/California\_Equal\_Pay\_Act.htm

# Other Issues

### Handbook Creep

- Failure to audit handbooks and other policies constantly (at least annually) to ensure compliance with changing laws
  - Protected categories
  - Specific wage and terms requirements
  - Prohibited items

### Compensation and Duties Creep

- Monitoring the way people are paid to ensure managers do not adopt non-compliant practices:
  - Non-compliant bonus or pay structures
  - Manner of paying training and meeting time
  - Break practices (as opposed to formal policies)

### Compensation and Duties Creep

- Monitoring duties to ensure they match exemptions
  - Create new "substantially similar" positions
  - Actual duties meet exemption tests
  - Actual pay or conditions meet requirements (e.g., commission levels for exempt inside salespeople)

# SUGGESTIONS

### Constant Vigilance

- Keep a thumb on the pulse of legal changes and new types of employment claims
- This is easy to follow through industry associations, chambers of commerce (e.g., HR California), HR organization (like PASCO!)
- It is easy to 'fall off the wagon' even law firms do!

### Recurrent Training

- Important that supervisors and employees know policies and expectations
- Ensure that training occurs on all important topics
- Make sure both supervisors and workers are exposed to and trained on your policies

#### **Proactive Review**

- Take time to compare information about your workforce with recent developments
- Consider a library of policies, forms and materials in order to:
  - 1. Maintain a history showing what policies have been
  - 2. Monitor when materials (e.g., employment applications) are stale, outdated, etc.

# DISCUSSION

#### RYBICKI & ASSOCIATES | P.C.

LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS

### THANK YOU!

Richard C. Rybicki Rybicki & Associates, P.C. 10 Executive Court, Suite 204 Napa, CA 94558 (707) 222-6361

www.rybickiassociates.com